

REMARKS


By the above, the method claims, non-elected per the restriction requirement, are canceled. These claims will be pursued in a divisional application to be filed shortly.

Also, three new claims directed to the amounts of the DMG and PCE components are added. These claims are supported by the disclosure at page 6, lines 19-24, for example. These new claims should be entered and considered even though this is after Final Rejection for the following reasons. A more than equal number of claims have been canceled. The claims are directed to subject matter of the same nature as claims previously present, i.e., claims 24 and 25. The claims are pertinent to the issue raised in the Final Office Action regarding the commensurate correspondence between the scope of the claims and the scope of the showing of unexpected properties. These dependent claims recite three levels of narrower claims regarding the amounts of DMG and PCE. Thus, the arguments made by applicants in the Reply filed February 19, 2003, that their showing of unexpected results is commensurate in scope with the claims is even more convincing as to these claims.

It is submitted, for the reasons set forth in the previous Amendment, that the claims are in condition for allowance. Early notification thereof is earnestly solicited. The examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to discuss matters which may further the prosecution of this application or facilitate the allowability of some or all of the claims herein.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Sopp, Reg. No. 33,103
Attorney for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO &
BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Telephone: (703) 243-6333
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: FSC-6

Date: February 21, 2003

K:\fsc\6\SUPP AMD.doc